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Research

Broader topic
- This research addresses the topic of Oil&gas company reported earnings (Financial reports), and the relevance of this information for investors

Specific topic
- Effect on value-relevance of competing methods for accounting for oil&gas exploration activities
  - Full cost versus Successful efforts
Background

- For more than 40 years, oil & gas companies have been able to choose between 2 competing methods for accounting for oil & gas exploration expenses
  - A result of intense lobbying by oil & gas companies
  - Full Cost Method
  - Successful Efforts Method
Full cost vs. Successful efforts
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Successful efforts vs. Full cost

- Successful Well drilling
  - Volatile earnings
    - (largest o&g companies)
- Dry well
  - Smoother earnings
    - (smaller o&g companies)
Issue

- Companies are allowed to choose between these two methods
- This choice affects earnings
- Two identical firms will report two separate earnings figures under the two methods
- Can confuse investors
Research question

- Hypothesis:
- Accounting method choice will confuse investors, who will have reduced confidence in accounting earnings
- Investors will rely more on non-accural accounting numbers (i.e. cash flows instead of accounting earnings)
Theoretical model

- Ohlson (1995)
- \( MV = f(BV, E, v) \)
- MV: Market value of equity
- BV: Book value of equity
- E: Earnings
- V: ‘Other information’
Empirical methodology

- Divide into two subsamples
  - Full cost (FC) firms
  - Successful efforts (SE) firms

- Run 2 models:
  - Earnings model
  - Cash flow model

- Determine best model using Vuong (1989) statistic
Empirical model (Earnings)

\[
R_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \frac{E_{it}}{MVE_{it-1}} + \beta_2 \frac{\Delta E_{it}}{MVE_{it-1}} + \beta_3 \frac{\Delta NPV_{it}}{MVE_{it-1}} + \beta_4 MRP_t + \beta_5 SMB_t + \beta_6 HML_t + \beta_7 MOM_t + \beta_8 \Delta OP_t + \beta_9 \Delta GP_t + \theta FE_i + \pi FE_t + \varepsilon_{it}^3
\]

Variables:
- \( R \) = returns
- \( NPV \) = net present value of oil & gas reserves (=‘other information’),
- \( MRP/SMB/HML/MOM \) = Fama-French-Carhart risk factors,
- \( OP \) = Oil price, \( GP \) = gas price,
- \( FE \) = fixed effects
Empirical model (Cash flow)

\[ R_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \frac{CF_{it}}{MVE_{it-1}} + \beta_2 \frac{\Delta CF_{it}}{MVE_{it-1}} + \beta_3 \frac{\Delta NPV_{it}}{MVE_{it-1}} + \beta_4 MRP_t + \beta_5 SMB_t + \beta_6 HML_t + \beta_7 MOM_t + \beta_8 \Delta OP_t + \beta_9 \Delta GP_t + \theta FE_i + \pi FE_t + \varepsilon_{it}^4 \]

Variables:
- \( R \) = returns
- \( NPV \) = net present value of oil & gas reserves (=‘other information’),
- \( MRP/SMB/HML/MOM \) = Fama-French-Carhart risk factors,
- \( OP \) = Oil price, \( GP \) = gas price,
- \( FE \) = fixed effects
### Results

**Earnings not significant Neither for full cost nor successful efforts firms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Earnings model for Full Cost companies</th>
<th>Earnings model for Successful Efforts companies</th>
<th>Cash flow model for Full Cost companies</th>
<th>Cash flow model for Successful Efforts companies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>-0.060 (0.418)</td>
<td>0.184 (0.190)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔE</td>
<td>0.013 (0.519)</td>
<td>0.124 (0.293)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.638 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>1.218 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔCF</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.084 (0.154)</td>
<td>-0.572 (0.034)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔNPV</td>
<td>0.020 (0.188)</td>
<td>0.057 (0.030)</td>
<td>0.011 (0.193)</td>
<td>0.060 (0.008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRP</td>
<td>0.687 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>0.680 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>0.775 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>0.773 (&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMB</td>
<td>1.475 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>1.011 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>1.545 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>1.002 (&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HML</td>
<td>0.803 (0.022)</td>
<td>0.266 (0.306)</td>
<td>0.298 (0.358)</td>
<td>0.059 (0.802)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOM</td>
<td>-0.110 (0.609)</td>
<td>0.029 (0.857)</td>
<td>-0.044 (0.840)</td>
<td>0.224 (0.078)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔOP</td>
<td>0.449 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>0.398 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>0.527 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>0.380 (&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔGP</td>
<td>0.393 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>0.124 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>0.287 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>0.095 (0.011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²-adjust (within)</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>0.226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-statistic</td>
<td>-44.192 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>37.264 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>61.986 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>63.852 (&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vuong test (z-statistic)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.425 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>-1.629 (0.052)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vuong (1989) statistic confirm result
Conclusion

- Stronger association between cash flow and market values than between earnings and market values
- Can conclude that Cash flows are more value relevant
- Result independent of accounting method
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